UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,011	07/12/2005	Hisamitsu Ishihara	, 113197-045	8933
24573 BELL, BOYD	7590 01/05/2007 & LLOYD, LLC		EXAMINER CHOI, STEPHEN	
70 W. MADIS	ON SUITE 3100			
CHICAGO, IL	. 60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	<u> </u>
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTUS	01/05/2007	DADCD	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			· · ·	√°			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
		10/542,011	ISHIHARA, HISAMITSI	11			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Stephen Choi	3724				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period f	or Reply	••					
VVHIO - External control contr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commun				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
<i>'</i> —		This action is non-final.					
3)	,_		ters prosecution as to the mo	rite ie			
,_		this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is I in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Diamania	•		. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application						
<b>-</b> \_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers			•			
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2005 is/are		cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• •	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		ainn anis it ann a 65 th 0.0 c	2.440( ) ( ) ( )				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for $X = X + X = X + X = X = X = X = X = X = $	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
u),	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docun	conto hovo hoon received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		unnlination No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			10			
	application from the International Bu		received in this National Stay	E			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	(i)			
	·		•				
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/05</u> .	5)  Notice of I 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/542,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it appears to exceed 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 5 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 5, "the displacement restricting member" lacks positive antecedent basis. It appears that claim 5 was intended to depend on claim 3. Thus, it is assumed that claim 5 depends on claim 3 for this office action only. In claim 8, the use of the phrase "can be" should be avoided since it is confusing whether the recitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3724

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite.

In claim 6, it is insufficiently clear what structure is set forth by "the second cutter is installed so that a load acts toward the first cutter when a film is cut".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-211833 (hereafter '833) in view of JP 5146991 (hereafter '991).

'833 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for blade edges gradually engaging with each other. '991 discloses blade edges gradually engaging with each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of '833 to provide the blade edges progressively engaging each other as taught by '991 in order to facilitate cutting of the workpiece. Regarding claim 7, e.g., via 80a of

Art Unit: 3724

'833. Regarding claims 8-9, the modified device of '883 fails to disclose an adjustable integrated cutter unit. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an adjustable integrated cutter unit on the modified device of '833 since the examiner takes Official Notice on the use of integrated cutter unit as old and well known in the art for the purpose of adjusting a cutting assembly relative to another assembly of a system. JP 04-060696 and JP 3184797 show examples of such a unit.

8. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '833 in view of '991 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of De Torre (US 2003/0079593).

The modified device of '833 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a displacement restricting member provided in a central area and in contact with a flank relief. De Torre teaches a displacement restricting member (e.g., 22) in contact with a flank relief (e.g., at 18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a blade with a flank relief wherein a displacement restricting member in contact with the flank relief as taught by De Torre on the modified device of '833 in order to reduce stresses to reduce and to preserve cutting forces.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hornung, JP 61230826, and EP 1197305 are cited to show related devices.

Art Unit: 3724

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SC

23 December 2006

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER